



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,903	03/31/2004	Chih-Chuan Cheng	CEIP0052USA	2902
27765 7590 03/01/2007 NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			EXAMINER PATEL, ANAND B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2116	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/01/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/01/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu@naipo.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/708,903

Applicant(s)

CHENG ET AL.

Examiner

Anand Patel

Art Unit

2116

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 January 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 4-6 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Response to Restriction filed 1/5/07 has been entered and as such Group I (claims 1-11) are elected and claims 12-33 are canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-3, 7, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taiwanese Publication No 485283 to Xie et al (hereinafter Xie) in view of US Patent No 5317309 to Vercellotti et al (hereinafter Vercellotti).

- As per claim 1, Xie discloses a computer system comprising:
 - An identification device (42) comprising:
 - Emitting a radio user signal (page 6, lines 8-13) with an identification code (figure 6, step 2); and
 - A host (40) comprising:
 - A processing module (12) for controlling operation of the host;
 - Receiving (inherent given figure 6, step 2; 44) the user signal;
 - A power supply (56) for supplying power to the processing module while receiving a power control signal (figures 3, 6); and
 - A control module (54) electrically connected to the power supply (figure 4);

- Wherein before the power supply supplies power to the processing module, the control module is capable of checking whether the identification code within the user signal matches a predetermined identification code as the second wireless module receives the user signal; if the identification code within the user signal matches the predetermined identification code, the control module is capable of transmitting the power control signal to the power supply (figures 3, 6; page 5, lines 5-19; page 7, line 8 – page 8, line 6).

Xie fails to disclose specifics of the identification device and the host. Vercellotti teaches a first wireless module for receiving a radio identification signal and then emitting a radio user signal (column 3, lines 37-40; column 4, lines 38-48). Vercellotti also teaches a second wireless module for emitting the radio identification signal (column 3, lines 28-37). An advantage of the system taught by Vercellotti is the ability to secure a system at low cost (column 2, lines 8-12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Xie with the wireless modules as taught by Vercellotti. Motivation to modify is to cut costs and improve reliability in a secure system.

- As per claim 2, Xie discloses the system wherein the identification device comprises a battery (30) for supplying power to the identification device.
- As per claim 3, Xie discloses the system wherein the identification device further comprises a memory (34) for storing the identification code (36).
- As per claim 7, Xie discloses the system wherein the identification code is the ID of the identification device or a password (36).
- As per claim 9, Xie discloses the system wherein the identification device regularly emits the user signal via the first wireless module with a predetermined period, and the host receives the user signal via the second wireless module based on the predetermined period to determine the location of the identification device (page 5, lines 1-4).

Art Unit: 2116

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xie in view of Vercellotti and US Patent No 6686830 to Schirtzer.

- As per claim 8, Xie discloses an identification code (36) in an identification device (42). Xie and Vercellotti fail to disclose modifying the identification code of the identification device. Schirtzer teaches an input interface (164) for receiving input data (column 5, line 67 – column 6, line 3); wherein the predetermined data is capable of being modified through the use of the input interface, and the data stored in the device is capable of being modified in a wireless way via the second wireless module of the host (column 4, lines 44-58). An advantage of the system taught by Schirtzer is the ability to improve radio frequency transceiver reliability (column 3, lines 1-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Xie and Vercellotti with the data modification as taught by Schirtzer. Motivation to modify is to improve system reliability and efficiency.

5. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xie in view of Vercellotti and US Patent No 6944425 to Fallenstein.

- As per claim 10, Xie and Vercellotti fail to disclose the communication protocol being the Bluetooth communication protocol. Fallenstein teaches a wireless communication protocol being Bluetooth (column 4, lines 38-45). An advantage of the system taught by Fallenstein is the ability to improve security in an electronic system (column 1, lines 38-57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Xie and Vercellotti with the Bluetooth communication protocol as taught by Fallenstein. Motivation to modify is to further improve system security and reliability.
- As per claim 11, Fallenstein teaches a wireless communication protocol being 802.11x (column 4, lines 38-45)

Art Unit: 2116

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Prior art fails to disclose or suggest wherein the first wireless module is also capable of generating a corresponding electrical data signal while receiving a radio data signal, and the memory is capable of storing the electrical data signal or wherein the identification device further comprises an input port for receiving an electrical data signal, and the memory is capable of storing the electrical data signal received by the input port.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anand Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-7211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on (571) 272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2116

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ABP



A. ELAMM
PRIMARY EXAMINER